People don’t like it when someone has to downgrade someone else’s product to sell their, but what if the product they sell is fraudulent. If you had a fraudulent Medical device that you had no registration and no scientific studies to validate it, how could you sell it? Well you could contact Google or other search engines and place your fraudulent device next to one that has proper registration, proper research and published studies. The search engines were thought to have legal risk. A section of the 1996 Communications Decency Act protects internet service companies from being held accountable for virtually any content provider by users or advertisers, but there is an exception for federal criminal cases specifically when there are devices proven fraudulent and being marketed without proper registration.

There have been a few false claim medical devices that claim to be able to tell you about your body parts and what remedies can help you. The PHYSIOSPECT, INTRASCAN, INTROSPECT, OBERON, SENSITIV IMAGO, AND LIFE DEVICES HAVE BEEN SHOWN TO BE FRAUDULENT AND ILLEGAL FOR SALE OR USE. They could produce NO regulatory registration that allowed them to make this claim. They could produce NO comprehensible science to explain the actions of their devices. They could produce NO clinical trials or patient studies to validate this claim. Without any registration of this claim, without any science to explain the claim, or without any proper clinical studies done to accepted legal standards How could they market such fraud. Well they use search engines to post their fraudulent claims next to devices that are registered, scientific, and with long history of clinical studies done to validate the concept.

There is a company that has the proper ability to make such claims. The Maitreya corporation now controls such technology in the EPFX/SCIO. As we investigated the claim that a device could electrically measure your reaction to various homeopathic items, the company showed us the original science dating back to research done in the seventies. The technique was registered with the FDA in America in 1989 as Electro-Physiological Reactivity (EPR) that we confirmed with the FDA literature. The research articles were very extensive and thorough. The current terminology registered with the CE mark is Transcutaneous Voltammetric Evoked Potential (TVEP). After over three decades of clinical and scientific research the technology has
now been added to certified medical textbooks accepted by European Universities. We reviewed the research, the university staff and the textbooks and found this to be scientific, extensive, and properly documented. Over three decades of science, research, clinical trials, peer reviewed journal publication, practitioner testimonials, and proper regulatory registration.

The other companies claiming to be the same, well they had none of the above. There was NO registration at all for most of them, NO registration of the reactivity claim for any of them, NO science explanation, NO clinical trials, NO publications, and NO honest attempt to comply with the law. They just all claimed to be the same as the EPFX/SCIO.

There have been many frauds in the business of Alternative Medicine. First there is Muscle testing for remedies. This has failed every double blind test and been proven to be under operator or therapist control.

Next was Electro-Dermal Testing using point probes. But here also all double blind research showed the operator was in control consciously or unconsciously changing the speed of pressure on his point probe.
The new laser devices with no real science or clinical data came from Russia. The CORE device has been found completely fraudulent using the computer date to shape data. In America these devices could be sold as experimental devices such as the Papini device which killed several people in Washington.
Google, Microsoft and Yahoo have been changing their policies under pressure from licensed pharmacies, major drug makers, registered medical devices and the FDA to do more to filter out ads that tout prescription medications or illegal devices. Drugs are often ostensibly from Canadian companies but manufactured in China, India and elsewhere. Fraudulent devices come mostly from Russia and Ukraine with illegal distributors all over the world. But some American companies are also using illegal comparisons of their device to other devices registered for functions there device is not registered for. The game is to compare your fraudulent device to a known medical device that is registered for a function yours is not. When the person uses his computer and mentions a legal device a cost comparison comes up to direct the person to the fraudulent device for less money. (see Google close to settling U.S. drug and fraud medical device ad probe, article June 2011)

But if you claim equivalence to a registered scientific device then how do you market yours. Well in these cases the companies could not argue with the technology, you slander the developer. They have said that Professor Nelson now Desire' Dubounet is lying and they are telling the truth. So we decided to independently investigate both sides of this issue.

Nelson says he worked on the Apollo navigation system and helped Apollo 13 to return. The other companies said this was a lie. We saw certificates verifying the story, we checked work records of FICA and Nelson worked as he claimed, we talked to other engineers who worked with Nelson and they verified the story. Nelson told the truth the competition told a lie.

They said Nelson's credentials were false. We verified all of his credentials and that he was indeed a medical licensed diagnostician and health care practitioner in the State of Ohio. Nelson' story was true the competition told a lie.

As we went through all of the stories we saw that Nelson / Desire' was telling the truth about all of the things he spoke and the competition was slandering him and telling many lies. They said they had registration, studies, science and all of this was a bold faced lie. They could produce none of this. They even stooped to calling Nelson/Desire' names for her courage to express her sexuality.

One company in particular the CORE system exploded with anger and hate for Nelson and they went into a tirade of what was later found out to be complete lies. The content of covetousness, jealousy and cognitive dissonance displayed became evident. The science dedication for the
registration and the research was mostly directed by Nelson/Desire’. These were skills the others do not have and they decided to break the law and put their products fraudulently on the net next to the Scio. The Scio has over twenty years of legal registration, science, and published double blind clinical studies and this drives the competition crazy. So they can fraudulently market their devices. And most sadly when these fraudulent devices do not get results with patients, and we talked to many patients that did not get results from these fraudulent devices, the whole industry and the companies doing it right suffer.

It is very difficult to deal with the greed and easy money making a fraudulent medical device generates. The authorities can do little and when confronted these frauds just sell their wares from someplace else. Google might not change, the law does not concern this giant and it is difficult for them to see what is or is not real. But look for CE registration not of safety but medical claim. Look for the studies, evaluate the science. Ask the question how does your device know that liver is stressed. Ask questions and look for answers. We hope this article has helped you.

Now Google is close to settling a federal criminal inquiry into its acceptance of advertisements from companies selling unlicensed pharmaceuticals and medical devices, according to a person involved in the case. The leading search engine disclosed this week that it had reserved $500m to resolve an unspecified US justice department probe into its advertising practices, with speculation quickly turning toward counterfeit and unapproved medical devices and drugs. The Wall Street Journal reported recently that the pending deal would resolve an investigation of drug medical device ads by the US justice department, with participation of the Food and Drug Administration and the federal prosecutor in Rhode Island. A person familiar with the matter confirmed the talks to the Financial Times.

The justice department, FDA and Google all declined to comment.