A True History of the FDA

By Laima Jonusiene, MD, Prof of Medicine Desire’ Dubounet, D. Sc. L.P.C.C

There clearly are Frauds and Charlatans plotting and scheming to get money from people selling deceit. And in Health care people get desperate or they are gullible and become easy targets.

There are some well-intentioned dim-witted people making remedies and devices for sale to the people. And these people need control, rules and regulations to protect the people.

There are very Rich people who try to push remedies and devices onto people without proper control and validation of their sales claims. The Extreme Gravity of this Story will really AMAZE You. REALLY !!

There are good professional people who sometimes need upright help in protecting the people.

So there is a dramatic need to make a regulatory body such as the FDA. Dr Wiley in 1906 saw the dangers of uncontrolled vaccinations, white sugar especially sugar drinks, cocaine in coca cola, heroin for toothaches, and especially bad food preparing. Dr Wiley said that Americans were committing suicide by over eating bad foods. He fought to protect the people from bad foods, bad remedies, addictions and false claims. Dr Wiley and Dr Royal Copeland fought to protect Homeopathy inside the FDA. Many good people helped build the FDA and many good people work for the FDA to protect us.

JFK found there is a group of Ultra-Rich conspirators who plot to enslave every man woman and child. JFK was killed before he could expose them. What they fear most is Equal Economic Education. Lincoln, FDR, JFK, RFK, Martin Luther King were all killed after they fought for equal economic education. Richard Nixon dealt fully with Watergate and was reelected. On the day after his reelection he announced the 1972 Equal Education Act to distribute tax money equally. Within days Watergate was rehashed and Nixon removed in disgrace. The Ultra-Rich stop any who try to discuss Equality. There is a very BIG EXPOSE' Story here, Beyond Belief. Read more at: www.equal-chances.com

The Ultra-Rich have bought the FDA and they seek to control the public to only use SINthetic drugs and they attack drugless therapies. This is a story of the potential of the FDA. There is a need for someone to tell and document the history of the FDA and the issues of money and control. Here it is:
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Jenner and Hahnemann Revolutionize Medicine

Jenner was basically a homeopath. Both Jenner and Hahnemann were developing their ideas of medicine in the late 1790’s. They had a similar mind that “like would treat like”. Both vaccination and homeopathy started at the same time of a similar philosophy. Using natural agents with minimum alteration and what causes a disease might help the body to deal with or remove a disease. Medicine was advanced by Jenner in 1797 and Hahnemann 1798 who both saw the power of using natural occurring substances in small amounts to treat disease. Stubborn people still attack vaccination.

But greed drove some people to use inferior and fraudulent items to make remedies that did not work or sometimes hurt people. In the early 1800s hundreds of people were making and selling untested remedies, poor vaccinations, untried potions, and unverified medicines. It was BIG BUSINESS and there were no laws to stop or impede the sales of any remedies. Thousands were reported to have died taking dangerous vaccinations and other false medicinal compounds. Misunderstanding of homeopathy, lack of standards, poor education all contribute to poorly made homeopathics. People are hurt by dangerous toxic plants and poorly made vaccinations. You must understand that this was ages ago and even the concept of standards and the idea of quality control was foreign and not understood by any of the masses. There was a distinct need for an agency to provide control, rules, regulations, science, testing, validation and verification of quality control systems and validation and verification of manufacturer claims. The people need to know if a company’s products are good + claims are valid or not.
Origins of Federal Food and Drug Regulations

Because of the extreme dangers to health the law makers sought to make laws to protect the people. There were few federal laws regulating the contents and sale of domestically produced food and pharmaceuticals, with one exception being the short-lived

Vaccine Act of 1813.

This act was the first to prohibit barbers from doing surgery. Till then if you needed surgery you went to the barber.

The Act was the first federal law concerning consumer protection and pharmaceuticals.

It was passed 27-Feb-1813 and repealed 4-May-1822 and the authority to regulate vaccines given to the states. This was the result of inept government control and an 1821 outbreak of smallpox in North Carolina, which was traced to contaminated vaccine provided by Dr. John Smith while in the capacity of the federal agent charged with preserving and distributing genuine vaccine

Success + Failure from 1822 to 1883

http://medicalexpose.org/
Hahnemann coins the word Allopathy meaning someone who treats symptoms only. Allopaths of this time are using harsh chemicals and heavy metals such as mercury. Mercury vapors are used to treat sinus infection; the vapors kill the infection but liquefy the brain. From 1800 to 1890 Homeopathy Flourishes around the World and in America. Before + During the Civil War there are more Homeopathic Hospitals in the US than Allopathic. After the Civil War there is a Flu outbreak in America. 10,000 + patients go Homeopaths and 98% of them LIVE. 10,000 + patients go to Allopath’s and 97% of them DIE. After the civil war there was a period of financial growth of the chemical companies that starts to put pressure onto Hospitals and Medical schools to stop doing homeopathy.
Louis Pasteur (a MicroBiologist not a Doctor) advances Germ Theory in 1865. Pasteur develops many Vaccinations in 1870 for such diseases as Anthrax, with limited success, thus a need for more laws + stiffer controls.

Louis Pasteur made the World aware of Micro-organisms and this was a revolution leading to the Modern Germ Theory but on his deathbed Pasteur said it was the Fauna NOT the Flora

In other words it is the Immune System that is More Important
The mid 1800s - Advent of SINthetics

The first medicinal drugs came from natural sources and existed in the form of herbs, plants, roots, vines and fungi. Until the mid-nineteenth century (1869) nature's pharmaceuticals were all that were available to relieve man's pain and suffering.

1856 William Henry Perkin discovered the first synthetic dye stuff "Mauve" (aniline, a basic dye) while searching for a cure for malaria and a new industry was begun.

The first synthetic drug, chloral hydrate, was discovered in 1869 and introduced as a sedative-hypnotic; it is still available today in some countries. The first pharmaceutical companies were spin-offs from the textiles and synthetic dye industry and owe much to the rich source of organic chemicals derived from the distillation of coal (coal-tar). The first analgesics and antipyretics, exemplified by phenacetin and acetylsalicylic acid, were simple chemical derivatives of aniline and p-nitrophenol, both of which were byproducts from coal-tar. The late 1800's saw many harmful and addictive compounds used by allopaths.

Under Harvey Washington Wiley, appointed chief chemist in 1883, the Division began conducting research into the adulteration and misbranding of food and drugs on the American market. Wiley was very concerned with the problems he saw developing with the sugar industry. He saw the need to stop the use of bastardized sugar to get children sugar addicted. Wiley also proposed legislation to control vaccinations and prevent the use of bad chemicals and other agents in them. Wiley was a chemist but
his appreciation for homeopathy and natural medicine drove his desire to stop profiteers from bastardizing foods. A true hero of our times Wiley sought to fight gluttony, addiction and negligence.

Aspirin is the most widely-used medicinal compound in the world, and it has a long history. Around 400 BC, Hippocrates, the "father of medicine" and the namesake of the Hippocratic oath taken by all physicians, administered willow-leaf tea (containing the natural compound from which aspirin is derived) to women during childbirth to ease pain. German chemist Friedrich Bayer developed aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid) in 1897, and it became a valuable over-the-counter drug in 1915.

An extract from the bark of the white willow tree had been used for centuries to treat various fevers and inflammation. The active principle in white willow, salicin or salicylic acid, had a bitter taste and irritated the gastric mucosa, but a simple chemical modification was much more palatable. This was acetylsalicylic acid, better known as Aspirin®, the first blockbuster SINthetic drug. At the start of the twentieth century, the first of the barbiturate family of drugs entered the pharmacopoeia and the rest, as they say, is history. Wiley saw problems with SINthetics, Sugar Drinks, Vaccinations, addictions to cocaine heroin, simple food preparations were killing people. Wiley saw the need to protect the people.

Wiley and his Division published its findings from 1887 to 1902 in a ten-part series entitled Foods and Food Adulterants. Wiley used these findings, and alliances with diverse organizations such as state regulators, the General Federation of Women’s Clubs, and national associations of physicians and pharmacists, to lobby for a new federal law to set uniform standards for food and drugs to enter into interstate commerce. Wiley’s advocacy came at a time when the public had become aroused to hazards in the marketplace by muckraking journalists like Upton Sinclair, and became part of a general trend for increased federal regulations in matters pertinent to public safety during the Progressive Era. The 1902 Biologics Control Act was put in place after diphtheria antitoxin vaccination was collected from a horse named Jim who contracted tetanus, resulting in several deaths. Wiley, a hero, believed in Homeopathy and he sought to make the FDA to protect homeopathy, and still it still is.
The history of the FDA can be traced to the latter part of the 19th century and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Division of Chemistry (later Bureau of Chemistry). Under Harvey Washington Wiley, appointed chief chemist in 1883, the Division began conducting research into the adulteration and misbranding of food and drugs on the American market. Wiley made a stand against sugar drinks.
In June 1906, President Theodore Roosevelt signed into law the Food and Drug Act, also known as the "Wiley Act" after its chief advocate. The Act prohibited, under penalty of seizure of goods, the interstate transport of food which had been "adulterated", with that term referring to the addition of fillers of reduced "quality or strength", coloring to conceal "damage or inferiority," formulation with additives "injurious to health," or the use of "filthy, decomposed, fraudulent or putrid" substances.
Swann says that one of the first major challenges to drug regulation came in 1910 when the government seized a large quantity of a worthless product called Johnson's Mild Combination Treatment for Cancer. In U.S. v. Johnson, the Supreme Court ruled against the government, finding that the product's false claims for effectiveness were not within the scope of the Pure Food and Drugs Act.

**Samuel Hopkins Adams** (January 26, 1871 – November 16, 1958) was an American writer, best known for his **investigative journalism** and **muckraking**. Working with **Lincoln Steffens**, **Ida Tarbell**, and Ray Stannard Baker. Adams considered himself a freelance writer and used his writings to support himself. In 1905 Adams was hired by *Collier's Weekly*, he prepared articles on **patent medicines**.[1] In a series of eleven articles he wrote for *Collier's Weekly* in 1905, "The Great American Fraud", Adams exposed many of the false claims made about patent medicines, pointing out that in some cases these medicines were damaging the health of the people using them. The series had a huge impact and led to the passage of the **Pure Food and Drug Act of 1906**. In 1911, the Supreme Court ruled that the prohibition of falsifications referred only to the ingredients of the medicine. This meant that companies were again free to make false claims about their products. Adams returned to the attack, and in another series of articles in *Collier's Weekly*, Adams exposed the misleading advertising that companies were using to sell their products. Linking his knowledge of newspapers with patent medicines to expose fraud.

Congress enacted the Sherley Amendment in 1912 to overcome the ruling in U.S. v. Johnson. This
amendment prohibited labeling medicines with false therapeutic claims intended to defraud the purchaser. But the amendment wasn't ideal. The government still had to prove that there was intent to defraud. The contention of proof was on the FDA to prove that were was intent to fraud. The fact that there was negligence of harm was not enough. This was designed to help these businesses get established but it will backfire later as time goes by.

"To establish fraud, the bureau had to show that the manufacturer knew the product was worthless, and this proved difficult in many cases," Swann says. For example, Lee Barlett, a former shirt salesman from Pittsburgh, promoted a medicine called Banbar as being effective for diabetes. Banbar was an extract of horsetail weed. The government took Barlett to court for selling a misbranded drug and even showed the death certificates of people with diabetes who had taken Banbar. But the jury ruled in Barlett's favor.

A patchwork of state laws provided varying degrees of protection against unethical sales practices, such as misrepresenting the ingredients of food products or therapeutic substances. Although they had no regulatory powers.

But following is a sample of reasons why we needed a regulatory body like the FDA.
In Every Family, a Hundred Uses For

Dioxogen

Read "The Third Kind of Cleanliness" in each Package.

WATCH IT BUBBLE

You do not have to "wait and wonder" if Dioxogen is cleansing. You know. You can see it work. You can feel it cleanse. It's delightful. It is interesting. Everybody likes it. Every demonstration of Dioxogen makes a convert.

Dioxogen by its prophylactic cleansing prevents infection in minor mishaps which, if neglected, might develop seriously.

As a mouth wash, Dioxogen reaches and oxidizes decomposing food particles between the teeth, in tooth cavities, between the gums and teeth, under and on the tongue and elsewhere, which a tooth-brush could not possibly reach. As a throat gargle, it bubbles over tonsils, palate, and tongue—delightful sensation—destroying bacteria and mechanically removing sources of infection. As a prophylactic cleanser for all parts of the body, especially if the skin is broken, Dioxogen has the approval of the highest authorities. The only active force in Dioxogen is Oxygen—the cleansing force of the universe.

Dioxogen is not a new product. It has been a success for many years. It is for sale everywhere. Three sizes, 25c, 50c and 75c. Dioxogen has a hundred uses in every family, as will be seen at once by a brief reading of "The Third Kind of Cleanliness" in every package.

In Sealed Original Packages.

Never accept Dioxogen in a plain bottle. Demand the original sealed package. Don't be misled. There is nothing else the "same as" Dioxogen. Reputable dealers will not offer you anything else in place of Dioxogen. Avoid inferior substitutes which have a disagreeable odor, a rank taste and which often spoil and explode. Be particular. Ask for Dioxogen by name. It never spoils. It has a pleasant, wholesome clean taste. The genuineness is made by THE OAKLAND CHEMICAL CO., New York.
Hixson’s X-Ray Oil Is the Elixir of Life to Broken Down Nerves

Our Guarantee:

For $1.00 we will ship you one bottle of our X-RAY OIL, and a full, blood serum of the same, to any school or college, or any individual. If you pay for the X-RAY OIL, but do not return the blood serum, we will refund the full purchase price. We have shipped thousands of bottles of X-RAY OIL all over the country, and have never had a single return. We stand behind our product 100%.

We believe that the Congress of the United States was wise in enacting the Pure Food Law. Its purpose is to make our laws and to enforce them. We are now manufacturing X-RAY OIL, and we will not sell it until it has been proved to be pure. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be effective. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be safe. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be beneficial. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be beneficial to all who use it. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be beneficial to all who use it. We will not sell it until it has been proved to be beneficial to all who use it.

HIXSON'S X-RAY OIL

It is the same oil formula and the same good quality that has been sold in our own advertising and sold to our friends by the hundreds. With the help of the pure food law, HIXON'S X-RAY OIL is now the best.
The 1906 Food and Drug Act and creation of the FDA

In June 1906, President Theodore Roosevelt signed into law the Food and Drug Act, also known as the "Wiley Act" after its chief advocate.[21] The Act prohibited, under penalty of seizure of goods, the interstate transport of food which had been "adulterated", with that term referring to the addition of fillers of reduced "quality or strength", coloring to conceal "damage or inferiority," formulation with additives "injurious to health," or the use of "filthy, decomposed, or putrid" substances. The act applied similar penalties to the interstate marketing of "adulterated" drugs, in which the "standard of strength, quality, or purity" of the active ingredient was not either stated clearly on the label or listed in the United States Pharmacopoeia or the National Formulary. The act also banned "misbranding" of food and drugs.[22] The responsibility for examining food and drugs for such "adulteration" or "misbranding" was given to Wiley's USDA Bureau of Chemistry.[21]

Wiley used these new regulatory powers to pursue an aggressive campaign against the manufacturers of foods with chemical additives, but the Chemistry Bureau's authority was soon checked by judicial decisions, as well as by the creation of the Board of Food and Drug Inspection and the Referee Board of Consulting Scientific Experts as separate organizations within the USDA in 1907 and 1908 respectively. A 1911 Supreme Court decision ruled that the 1906 act did not apply to false claims of therapeutic efficacy,[23] in response to which a 1912 amendment added "false and fraudulent" claims of "curative or therapeutic effect" to the Act's definition of "misbranded." However, these powers continued to be narrowly defined by the courts, which set high standards for proof of fraudulent intent.[24] In 1927, the Bureau of Chemistry’s regulatory powers were reorganized under a new USDA body, the Food, Drug, and Insecticide organization. This name was shortened to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) three years later.[24]

1913 Federal Reserve System starts the system of control for the Ultra-Rich

The Federal Reserve System (which is no more Federal than Federal Express), often referred to as the Federal Reserve or simply "the Fed," is the 3rd central bank of the United States and it takes over the top spot. It was sneaked by the Congress to provide the nation with a safer, more flexible, and more stable monetary and financial system. But since it owners are unknown and no one ever audits the fed it is used to make money for it's owners not the USA. The Federal Reserve was created on December 23, 1913, when President Woodrow Wilson signed the Federal Reserve Act into law.
The Federal Reserve is no more Federal the Federal Express
Many of the Fed's Owners are secret

In 2010 they made 80 billion Profit, while the rest of us suffered

The Federal Reserve
A privately owned, Rothschild, central banking cartel
Charging tax payers interest on money they print out of thin air since 1913

The Banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered

The Federal Reserve Looting the US since 1913

FDIC
Your Corporate and Business banking accounts
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Security updates for ACH and Wire transfers

Dear clients,
Your account ACH and Wire transactions have been temporarily suspended for your Security, due to the expiration of your security version. To download and install the newest installations, follow this link.

As soon as it is set up, your transaction abilities will be fully restored.

Best regards, Online security department, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
The 1938 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

By the 1930s, muckraking journalists, consumer protection organizations, and federal regulators began mounting a campaign for stronger regulatory authority by publicizing a list of injurious products which had been ruled permissible under the 1906 law, including radioactive beverages, cosmetics which caused blindness, and worthless "cures" for diabetes and tuberculosis. The resulting proposed law was unable to get through the Congress of the United States for five years, but was rapidly enacted into law following the public outcry over the 1937 Elixir Sulfanilamide tragedy, in which over 100 people died after using a drug formulated with a toxic, untested solvent. The only way that the FDA could even seize the product was due to a misbranding problem: an "Elixir" was defined as a medication dissolved in ethanol, not the diethylene glycol used in the Elixir Sulfanilamide.

In 1927, the Bureau of Chemistry's regulatory powers were reorganized under a new USDA body, the Food, Drug, and Insecticide organization. The Insecticide industries were able to politic and get removed from the law. The name was then changed to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) three years later.

By the 1930s, muckraking journalists, consumer protection organizations, and federal regulators began mounting a campaign for stronger regulatory authority by publicizing a list of injurious products which had been ruled permissible under the 1906 law, including radioactive beverages, cosmetics which caused blindness, and worthless "cures" for diabetes and tuberculosis. A homeopathic tragedy happened in New England with low does arsenic homeopathic that killed many.

The resulting proposed law was unable to get through the Congress of the United States for five years, but was rapidly enacted into law following the public outcry over the 1937 Elixir Sulfanilamide tragedy, in which over 100 people died after using a drug formulated with a toxic, untested solvent. The only way that the FDA could even seize the product was due to a misbranding problem: an "Elixir" was defined as a medication dissolved in ethanol, not the diethylene glycol used in the Elixir Sulfanilamide.
Dr Royal Copeland and some other homeopaths fought to make sure that homeopathy was kept in the 1938 law. The U.S. Congress passed a law in 1938 declaring that homeopathic remedies are to be regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the same manner as nonprescription, over-the-counter (OTC) drugs. This means that homeopathic medicines can be purchased without a doctor’s prescription. Unlike conventional prescription drugs and new OTC drugs, which must undergo thorough testing and review by the FDA for safety and effectiveness before they can be sold, homeopathic remedies don’t have to undergo clinical trials. They do have to meet legal standards for strength, quality, purity, and packaging. In 1988, the FDA required that all homeopathic medicines list on the label the medical problems they’re designed to treat. The FDA also requires the label to list ingredients, dilutions, and instructions for safe use. Full disclosure and validation of safety is the law.

The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) of 1938 recognized homeopathic preparations as drugs, but with significant exceptions. A principal sponsor of the Act was New York Senator and homeopathic physician Royal Copeland, who ensured that homeopathy's own Homœopathic Pharmacopœia of the United States (HPUS) be included, as it expressed the "self-professed quality standards" of the homeopathic profession. The finished Act thus created loopholes for the regulation of homeopathic drugs, and they are thus exempted from many of the rules regulating other drugs. The inclusion of HPUS in the Act has since been questioned by "lawyers, doctors, homeopaths, historians, and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) officials."[51]

Homeopathic remedies are regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which regulates manufacturing and other standards that are appropriate for homeopathic drugs, mainly through The Homœopathic Pharmacopœia of the United States (HPUS)[52] as administered by the Homœopathic Pharmacopœia Convention of the United States and section 400.400 of the FDA Compliance Policy Guidance Manual.[53] Homeopathic drugs must be tested for scope of effect, manufactured, and labeled according to the Federal FD&C Act and the HPUS before they are considered official homeopathic drugs. Official homeopathic drugs can be marketed according to their classification in the HPUS. They are not regulated under the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994. Many homeopathic drugs can be sold "over-the-counter"; however, some are classified as prescription-only under all
circumstances, and some are classified as prescription-only in various low dilutions. As with all drugs, the labeling requirements are important, as that is one of the primary ways the FDA can regulate drugs. Homeopathic pharmaceutical techniques are not technologically complicated, and the drugs are generally considered to be biologically safe because they are so diluted to the point where there are no molecules from the original solution left in a dose of the final remedy.\[54\]

The FDA makes significant exemptions for homeopathic remedies as compared to other drugs. Here are a few:\[55\]

1. They are not required to submit new drug applications to the FDA.
2. They are "exempt from good manufacturing practice requirements related to expiration dating".
3. They are exempt from "finished product testing for identity and strength".
4. They may "contain much higher amounts" of alcohol than other drugs, which may contain "no more than 10 percent...and...even less for children's medications".

By 2007, in the United States, $3.1 billion were spent on homeopathic medicine\[56\] and 2.3% of the persons age 18 or over had consulted a practitioner that year.\[57\] Homeopathy was first established in the United States by Hans Birch Gram\[58\] in 1825 and rapidly gained popularity.\[59\] The height of its influence was the end of the 19th century where hardly any city with over 50,000 people was without a homeopathic hospital. In 1890, there were 93 regular schools, 14 of them were fully homeopathic and 8 of them were eclectic. In 1900, there were 121 regular schools, with 22 of them being homeopathic and 10 eclectic.\[60\] Teaching of homeopathy in the USA declined rapidly in the 20th century. The last purely homeopathic medical school closed in 1920, although homeopathic electives continued to be offered by the Hahnemann Medical School in Philadelphia until the 1940s.\[61\]

According to one study, in 1990, 0.7% of individuals used homeopathy in the year prior to being questioned; in 1997, 3.4% had used homeopathy at least once in the previous year. According to the same study, of those who used homeopathy, 31.7% had seen a homeopathic practitioner in the past year in 1990 and the number dropped to 16.5% by 1997.\[62\]

The guidelines for homeopathic medicines are found in an official guide, the Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States, which is written by a nongovernmental, nonprofit organization of industry representatives and homeopathic experts. The Pharmacopoeia also includes provisions for testing new remedies and verifying their clinical effectiveness. In 1938 the HPUS and the Compendium of Natural Herbs were both registered as remedy documents. In 1962 the HPUS was supported and renewed. No one appeared to defend the Compendium, so it was dropped.
53. "CPG Sec. 400.400 Conditions Under Which Homeopathic Drugs May be Marketed".
55. Isadora Stehlin, Food and Drug Administration Public Affairs Officer, "Homeopathy: Real Medicine or Empty Promises?", FDA Consumer. December 1996
59. Frederick Karst, Homeopathy In Illinois, Caduceus, 4:2, 1988, pp. 1-33; p. 5
61. History of Homeopathy, Creighton University Department of Pharmacology, retrieved 2007-07-23
Today validation of safety, quality and claims is the law. And if you cannot then you might get sued and have to validate your claim.

The inability of Homeopaths to do good research has prevented Homeopathy from flourishing. This has also left homeopathy open for attack from people who can sue if there is no validation for the claims. Until homeopathy can embrace science it is threatened with extinction.

What Does FDA Regulate?

- FDA regulates products, not the practice of medicine
  - Drugs
  - Biologics
  - Medical devices
  - Combination products
  - Foods
  - Tobacco
  - Cosmetics
  - Veterinary products
  - Radiation-emitting electronic products
How does the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulate homeopathic remedies?

Because of their long use in the United States, the U.S. Congress passed a law in 1938 declaring that homeopathic remedies are to be regulated by the FDA in the same manner as nonprescription, over-the-counter (OTC) drugs, which means that they can be purchased without a physician’s prescription. Today, although conventional prescription drugs and new OTC drugs must undergo thorough testing and review by the FDA for safety and effectiveness before they can be sold, this requirement does not apply to homeopathic remedies.

Remedies are required to meet certain legal standards for strength, quality, purity, and packaging. In 1988, the FDA required that all homeopathic remedies list the indications for their use (i.e., the medical problems to be treated) on the label. The FDA also requires the label to list ingredients, dilutions, and instructions for safe use.

The guidelines for homeopathic remedies are found in an official guide, the Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States, which is authored by a nongovernmental, nonprofit organization of industry representatives and homeopathic experts. The Pharmacopoeia also includes provisions for testing new remedies and verifying their clinical effectiveness. Remedies on the market before 1962 have been accepted into the Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States based on historical use, rather than scientific evidence from clinical trials.

The U.S. Congress passed a law in 1938 declaring that homeopathic remedies are to be regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the same manner as nonprescription, over-the-counter (OTC) drugs. This means that homeopathic medicines can be purchased without a doctor’s prescription. Unlike conventional prescription drugs and new OTC drugs, which must undergo thorough testing and review by the FDA for safety and effectiveness before they can be sold, homeopathic remedies don’t have to undergo clinical trials. They do have to meet legal standards for strength, quality, purity, and packaging. In 1988, the FDA required that all homeopathic medicines list on the label the medical problems they’re designed to treat. The FDA also requires the label to list ingredients, dilutions, and instructions for safe use.

Source: Homeopathy | University of Maryland Medical Center
http://umm.edu/health/medical/altmed/treatment/homeopathy#ixzz3Ip0HgxZ4
University of Maryland Medical Center
Follow us: @UMMC on Twitter | MedCenter on Facebook

President Franklin Delano Roosevelt signed the new Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) into law on June 24, 1938. The new law significantly increased federal regulatory authority over drugs by mandating a pre-market review of the safety of all new drugs, as well as banning false therapeutic claims in drug labeling without requiring that the FDA prove fraudulent intent. The law also authorized factory inspections and expanded enforcement powers, set new regulatory standards for foods, and brought cosmetics and therapeutic devices under federal regulatory authority. This law, though extensively amended in subsequent years, remains the central foundation of FDA regulatory authority to the present day. [21]
Regulation of human drugs and medical devices after 1938

Early FD&C Act amendments: 1938-1958

Soon after passage of the 1938 Act, the FDA began to designate certain drugs as safe for use only under the supervision of a medical professional, and the category of "prescription-only" drugs was securely codified into law by the 1951 Durham-Humphrey Amendment. While pre-market testing of drug efficacy was not authorized under the 1938 FD&C Act, subsequent amendments such as the Insulin Amendment and Penicillin Amendment did mandate potency testing for formulations of specific lifesaving pharmaceuticals. The FDA began enforcing its new powers against drug manufacturers who could not substantiate the efficacy claims made for their drugs, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruling in Alberty Food Products Co. v. United States (1950) found that drug manufacturers could not evade the "false therapeutic claims" provision of the 1938 act by simply omitting the intended use of a drug from the drug's label. These developments confirmed extensive powers for the FDA to enforce post-marketing recalls of ineffective drugs. Much of the FDA's regulatory attentions in this era were directed towards abuse of amphetamines and barbiturates, but the agency also reviewed some 13,000 new drug applications between 1938 and 1962. While the science of toxicology was in its infancy at the start of this era, rapid advances in experimental assays for food additive and drug safety testing were made during this period by FDA regulators and others.

Expansion of premarket approval process: 1959-1985

In 1959, Senator Estes Kefauver began holding congressional hearings into concerns about pharmaceutical industry practices, such as the perceived high cost and uncertain efficacy of many drugs promoted by manufacturers. There was significant opposition, however, to calls for a new law expanding the FDA's authority. This climate was rapidly changed by the thalidomide tragedy, in which thousands of European babies were born deformed after their mothers took that drug - marketed for treatment of nausea - during their pregnancies. Thalidomide had not been approved for use in the U.S. due to the concerns of an FDA reviewer, Frances Oldham Kelsey. However, thousands of "trial samples" had been sent to American doctors during the "clinical investigation" phase of the drug's development, which at the time was entirely unregulated by the FDA. Individual members of Congress cited the thalidomide incident in lending their support to expansion of FDA authority.
About Prescription drugs

- According to the Durham-Humphrey Amendment of 1951, drugs are controlled with prescription if they are:
  - Habit-forming.
  - Not safe for self-medication.
  - Intended to treat ailments that require the supervisions of a health professional.
  - New and without an established safe track record.

SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENTS

  - The Durham-Humphrey Amendment explicitly defined two specific categories for medications, legend (prescription) and over-the-counter (OTC).
  - Kefauver Harris Amendment or "Drug Efficacy Amendment"
  - In response to Thalidomide tragedy
  - Introduced a requirement for drug manufacturers to provide proof of the effectiveness and safety of their drugs before approval
  - Required drug advertising to disclose accurate information about side effects
  - Stopped cheap generic drugs being marketed as expensive drugs under new trade names as new "breakthrough" medications.
  - Requires the label to state: identity of the product; name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and net quantity of contents, in both Roman and U.S. customary units.
Thalidomide makers apologise 50 years after drug caused birth defects

But victims say statement is not enough

Thalidomide, Bendictine, Ondansetron Zoloft, Depakote, Topamax, Way too Many SINthetic Drugs cause Birth Defects, They Insult the Body

NEW EFFEXOR STUDY FINDS SIGNIFICANT LINK TO SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECTS

A study of birth defects in the US has added new evidence to a growing body of data linking antidepressant usage during pregnancy to miscarriage and birth defects.

BIRTH DEFECTS RESEARCH PART A: CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR TERATOLOGY
THALIDOMIDE TIMELINE

1946: Grunenthal founded in Germany by soap makers Maurer & Wirtz, enriched by wartime prolifeering. Later employs several ex-Nazis, some with knowledge of wartime chemical weapons research.

1953: Two Grunenthal employees credited with inventing thalidomide but subsequently resign and later deny involvement in marketing it without adequate testing.

1954: Thalidomide patented at a time when Grunenthal needed a profitable new product to maintain post-war profits.

1956: Marketing brochures prepared but launch delayed. Test market samples distributed; first known deformed baby born December 25.

1957: Thalidomide launched under trade name Contergan on October 1 in Germany. Licensing agreement signed with UK firm Distillers.

1958: Distillers starts marketing the drug as Distaval and other trade names in the UK and subsequently worldwide— including Australia.

1959: Grunenthal dismenties warning by German neurologist that the drug damages the central nervous system.

1960: German specialist labels outbreak of birth deformities an epidemic. US Food and Drug Administration chief Dr Frances Kelsey blocks thalidomide sales— but too late to prevent 2 million sample tablets going to 20,000 Americans.

1961: Australian Dr William McBride and a German doctor independently link birth defects to thalidomide use.

1962: Drug no longer distributed but not properly withdrawn from sale and available in many countries.

1968-70: Criminal trial of Grunenthal directors ends with unlimited compensation for German victims. Special laws passed to shield the firm from lawsuits.

Antidepressants Side Effects to Birth Defects

Antidepressants taken by women during pregnancy have been linked to serious birth defects such as heart valve problems, cleft lip and palate, genital deformities and autism among others. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, or SSRIs, first entered the US market in 1967 with the approval of Prozac. In many countries, SSRIs are the most widely prescribed antidepressants.

Side Effects:
Excessive Drowsiness
Excessive Diarrhea
Anhedonia

Dangerous Potential Birth Defects:
Pulmonary Valve Stenosis
Neural Tube Defects
Cleft Lip and Palate
Atrial Septal Defect

Antidepressant Drug Brands:
Celexa
Effexor
Lexapro
Paxil
Pristiq
Prozac
Wellbutrin
Zoloft

Antidepressants and Birth Defects Medical Studies:
The FDA in 2006, warned about the risk of neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension. According to the FDA warning, physicians should be aware of the risks involved with prescribing Zoloft to pregnant women, and should never treat women with SSRIs late in pregnancy.

Other SSRIs birth defects that have been linked to this class of drugs include seizures, cerebral malformations, cardiovascular malformations, and orofaciodigital.

Antidepressants and Birth Defects Lawsuits:
GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), the drug company that has produced many of these SSRIs, has settled some 800 claims for an estimated $1 billion. This is in addition to other monetary settlements for an additional 200 antidepressant birth defect claims from June 2010.

800 Claims and $1 Billion

Antidepressants and Autism:
A recent study found that the use of SSRIs antidepressants such as Celexa, Lexapro, Paxil, Prozac, or Zoloft during pregnancy doubled the chance that the child would develop an autism spectrum disorder. Individuals with autism often have difficulty regulating their levels of serotonin and evidence suggests that SSRIs antidepressants increase production of serotonin in the brain of the mother could affect the development of the unborn child.

Call d’Oliveira & Associates at 1-800-992-6878 for a free Consultation

Our Society Cannot Afford to Ignore It All

Pharmacology Fact:
To Use a SYNTHETIC anything is an Insult to the Body

Synthetic Drugs are NOT the Answer

The Reason your Child is AD and or HD is not because they are Drug Deficient

Americans Spent 9 Billion $ on ADHD Drugs in 2014, and 20 Billion $ on the Side Effects

Side Effects from these are Killers

The Ultra-Rich Fear Equality

Discrimination is perpetuated by an unequal education that makes the minorities appear stupid.

"To give a man a fish feeds him for a day, but to teach a man to fish, feeds him a for a lifetime". Earlier we discussed the 350 richest people in the world. 100 million dollars from each of these people could teach less fortunate people how to fish and etc... We’re also uncovering a very profound problem here, education of the poor. The poor need to be educated. Many of these 350 richest people pay almost no tax whatsoever, because they avoid it. We need to look at the ideas of an economic funding between the wealthy and the poor areas. In some places there is a hundred dollars spent on a student per year, sometimes less. However, in other places where there are a hundred thousand dollars per student per year.

The tax situation was incredibly unfair, in turn creating unequal education. If we were to take all of this money and spread it around equally amongst all of the high schools in the nation, it would have a massive effect in the overall economic situation in the country.

John Kennedy had the idea of Equal Economic Education, twenty one days later he was dead. President Nixon had the same idea after being elected for a second time. Nobody gave a damn about Watergate until he came up with the idea of equal economic education. Then the powers that be or should we say lurk, got rid of him.

If we had equal economic education, the massive amount of money that the rich areas have people used could be used to help increase funding in the other areas. But in reality what we’ve done in America is we’ve created this strata based on the idea of taxation. If we had true equal economic opportunity we would not be in this situation of the people leaving the inner city to go into suburbia. People’s economic future should not be a factor of where they live. Public education means public and should be equal. It is the next step in making this world a better place to live.

Learn More at our site
www.equal-chances.com
The 1962 Kefauver-Harris Amendment to the FD&C act represented a "revolution" in FDA regulatory authority. The most important change was the requirement that all new drug applications demonstrate "substantial evidence" of the drug's efficacy for a marketed indication, in addition to the existing requirement for pre-marketing demonstration of safety. This marked the start of the FDA approval process in its modern form. Drugs approved between 1938 and 1962 were also subject to FDA review of their efficacy, and to potential withdrawal from the market. Other important provisions of the 1962 amendments included the requirement that drug companies use the "established" or "generic" name of a drug along with the trade name, the restriction of drug advertising to FDA-approved indications, and expansion of FDA powers to inspect drug manufacturing facilities.

These reforms had the effect of increasing the time required to bring a drug to market. In the mid-1970s, 13 of the 14 drugs the FDA saw as most important to approve were on the market in other countries before the United States.

The Medical Device Regulation Act or Medical Device Amendments of 1976 was introduced by the 94th Congress of the United States. Congressman Paul G. Rogers and Senator Edward M. Kennedy were the chairperson sponsors of the medical device amendments. The Title 21 amendments were signed into law on May 28, 1976 by the 38th President of the United States Gerald R. Ford. All medical devices must be properly registered. The FDA now controls quality control and manufacturer claims.
One of the most important statutes in establishing the modern American pharmaceutical market was the 1984 Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act, more commonly known as the "Hatch-Waxman Act" after its chief sponsors. This act was intended to correct two unfortunate interactions between the new regulations mandated by the 1962 amendments, and existing patent law (which is not regulated or enforced by the FDA, but rather by the United States Patent and Trademark Office). Because the additional clinical trials mandated by the 1962 amendments significantly delayed the marketing of new drugs, without extending the duration of the manufacturer's patent, "pioneer" drug manufacturers experienced a decreased period of lucrative market exclusivity. On the other hand, the new regulations could be interpreted to require complete safety and efficacy testing for generic copies of approved drugs, and "pioneer" manufacturers obtained court decisions which prevented generic manufacturers from even beginning the clinical trial process while a drug was still under patent. The Hatch-Waxman Act was intended as a compromise between the "pioneer" and generic drug manufacturers which would reduce the overall cost of bringing generics to market and thus, it was hoped, reduce the long-term price of the drug, while preserving the overall profitability of developing new drugs.

The act extended the patent exclusivity terms of new drugs, and importantly tied those extensions, in part, to the length of the FDA approval process for each individual drug. For generic manufacturers, the Act created a new approval mechanism, the Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA), in which the generic drug manufacturer need only demonstrate that their generic formulation has the same active ingredient, route of administration, dosage form, strength, and pharmacokinetic properties ("bioequivalence") as the corresponding brand-name drug. This act has been credited with essentially creating the modern generic drug industry.\(^\text{[24]}\)
In 1982 thru 1985 Nelson writes the scientific proof that Synthetics are SINthetics and ‘Any Synthetic is an Insult to the Body. He proves that Natural medicines are the best way to treat the body. There is incredible evidence for this including a Nobel Prize treatise.

This disturbs the profit of the Synthetic – SINthetic medicine cartel which use patents to protect their income. Only patented medicines can be protected because of discrepancies in the law. The Chemical cartel seek to stop any discussion of synthetics being and insult. The get the FDA to trump up false charges against Nelson to try to stop his exposure of the truth.
1. The Synthetic drug companies do not know how to properly place the electrons around the atoms in making a drug. Nature uses QED via Photosynthesis to put some of the electrons into high energy quantum states. This is how we get energy and life.

2. The Synthetic Drug companies use antiquated outdated reductionism philosophy to assemble and test their drugs. The Fractal Complexity of Nature with its incredible complexity must be revered rather than ignored. The height of IGNORANCE is to ignore nature. An IGNORANCE that makes money.

3. There is no study known that ever shows a synthetic drug completely equivalent to its natural counterpart. The reductionism studies only measure the required variables. They DO NOT measure side effects. Side effects are observed and often only observed years or decades later. The laws and the FDA protect them.

4. Side Effects dominate and proliferate the Synthetic Drug scene. Look at the Physician Drug Reference and see that all drugs have a list often a long list of side effects. This is not natural. Almost Every year over a hundred drugs are removed from the market because they are hurting people. It's just a matter of time before the hurtful side effects are seen.

5. Our society has now learned conclusively that synthetic foods are incompatible with health. We have now rejected all synthetics and we know that the finest quality comes from the natural. It is the next step of simple human consciousness and thought to see clearly that synthetic drugs are incompatible with the human body.
SYNTHETIC IS SYNTHETIC

Pharmacology Fact: To Use a SYNTHETIC anything is an Insult to the Body

http://syntheticissinthetic4u.com/

Synthetic Allopathic Non Holistic Medicine Has Become Out-Dated

http://syntheticissinthetic4u.com
The EPFX measures the Electrophysiologic Reactivity intensity of the patient to many QOC trivector Voltammetry patterns. These are patterns of reactions to Sarcoles, Nosodes, Allercedes, Isodes, Nutritional, Herbs, Imponderable and classic homeopathics. The reaction patterns or profiles can relate disturbances of the patient. Therapies can then be arranged to develop harmonic reactions, desensitizations, biological resonance or rectification processes.

All of these are applied and managed through biofeedback application. Biofeedback is the operation that allows for the cybernetic loop of systemic feedback. The only indicated use of this device and all claims related to this device are under biofeedback. The loop of measured reaction and bio-varied resonance response allow for a true feedback for self corrective Electrophysiologic therapy. Hence it is called the Electro Physiological Feedback Xrroid.

Excerpt from the 510k registration of 1989

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Food and Drug Administration
10900 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20850

Re: K392114A
Electro-Physio-Feedback-Xrroid® System

Dated: Undated
Received: July 18, 1989
Regulatory Class: II

Energetic Medicine History !!!!!

It Seems Like Magic But it's just SCIO
THE NATION

Thanks to Bill Nelson and Jim Turner

FDA Gives Nod To Acupuncture

WASHINGTON — The Food and Drug Administration yesterday classified acupuncture needles as medical devices for “general use” by trained professionals.

The agency did not go so far as to state that acupuncture is effective for any particular condition, an outcome many acupuncturists had hoped for. But by designating instruments of a 2,000-year-old Chinese healing art in the same category with such standard Western medical tools as scalpels and syringes, the FDA removed a major barrier to insurance coverage for acupuncture. (LAT)

"I have Sworn on the Altar of God to Oppose any Tyranny over the Minds of Men"

Thomas Jefferson

Sworn on the Altar -- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z14C9n8XGCQ
Concerns about the length of the drug approval process were brought to the fore early in the AIDS epidemic. In the mid- and late 1980s, ACT-UP and other HIV activist organizations accused the FDA of unnecessarily delaying the approval of medications to fight HIV and opportunistic infections, and staged large protests, such as a confrontational October 11, 1988 action at the FDA campus which resulted in
In August 1990, Dr. Louis Lasagna, then chairman of a presidential advisory panel on drug approval, estimated that thousands of lives were lost each year due to delays in approval and marketing of drugs for cancer and AIDS.\[30\]

Partly in response to these criticisms, the FDA issued new rules to expedite approval of drugs for life threatening diseases, and expanded pre-approval access to drugs for patients with limited treatment options.\[31\] The first of these new rules was the "IND exemption" or "treatment IND" rule, which allowed expanded access to a drug undergoing phase II or III trials (or in extraordinary cases even earlier) if it potentially represented a safer or better alternative to treatments currently available for terminal or serious illness. A second new rule, the "parallel track policy", allowed a drug company to set up a mechanism for access to a new potentially lifesaving drug by patients who for various reasons would be unable to participate in ongoing clinical trials. The "parallel track" designation could be made at the time of IND submission. The accelerated approval rules were further expanded and codified in 1992.\[32\]

All of the initial drugs approved for the treatment of HIV/AIDS were approved through accelerated approval mechanisms. For example, a "treatment IND" was issued for the first HIV drug, AZT, in 1985, and approval was granted just two years later in 1987.\[33\] Three of the first five drugs targeting HIV were approved in the United States before they were approved in any other country.

Challenges to FDA authority by states

In two instances, state governments have sought to legalize drugs which have not been approved by the FDA. Because federal law overrules conflicting state laws, federal authorities still have the authority to seize, arrest, and prosecute for possession and sales of these substances, even in states where they are legal under state law.

The first wave was the legalization by 27 states of laetrile in the late 1970s. This drug was used as a treatment for cancer, but scientific studies both before and after this legislative trend found it to be ineffective.\[34\]\[35\] Federal law enforcement prevented interstate shipment, making the drug infeasible to
manufacture and sell. Further studies based on a Mexican formulation also showed no effectiveness in treating cancer, but did find that some patients experienced symptoms of cyanide poisoning. Though the political movement died out in the 1980s, FDA enforcement actions against laetrile purveyors continued into the 2000s.[35]

The second wave concerned medical marijuana in the 1990s and 2000s. Though Virginia passed a law with limited effect in 1979, a more widespread trend began in California in 1996. The Obama Administration de-prioritized enforcement of federal law against patients using the drug in compliance with state law, resulting in a de facto legalization. Recreational marijuana is now legal in some places.

Twenty-three states and the District of Columbia currently have laws legalizing marijuana in some form.

Four states have legalized marijuana for recreational use. Alaska and Oregon will become the next states where recreational marijuana is legal after voters approved cannabis ballot measures set to become effective in 2015. District of Columbia voters also recently overwhelmingly approved a ballot initiative legalizing recreational-purpose marijuana that will be subject to Congressional review. Colorado and Washington previously passed similar ballot measures legalizing marijuana in 2012.

Other states have passed medical marijuana laws allowing for limited use of cannabis. Some medical marijuana laws are broader than others, with types of medical conditions that allow for treatment varying from state to state. In some states, criminal penalties have been eliminated for small amounts of marijuana.

The map below show states legalizing marijuana use for medical and recreational purposes. Information below is current as of Jan. 20, 2015.
Dangers of weed

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fuJPrjEAzeE

Further information: Medical cannabis in the United States

http://medicalexposedownloads.com/PDF/Barack%20Obama%27s%20comments%20that%20marijuana%20is%20no%20more%20dangerous.pdf

Big Drop In Trans-Fats In US Bloodstream
The FDA's motto should be "Better late than never"

Trans fats were scientifically shown to be dangerous in 1953 after studies began in 1940. Around 2000 labeling regulation was enforced. The FDA sat on this for something like 50 years before acting. This is no victory. This is fixing a mistake.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Food_and_Drug_Administration
The FDA Protects BIG SUGAR’s Profits as Big Sugar Kills Millions with Disease

http://youtu.be/Ah88gjejCTU short story of sugar

http://www.downloads.imune.net/medicalbooks/The%20story%20of%20Sugars%20with%20Key%20Articles%20from%20The%20New%20England%20Journal%20of%20Medicine.pdf


Like alcohol and tobacco, sugar is a toxic, addictive substance that should be highly regulated, with taxes, laws on sales, and to whom it can be advertised, and even a restricted sales, says a team of UCSF scientists (University of California San Francisco).

In a paper published in Nature on Wednesday, they argue that increased global consumption of sugar is primarily responsible for a whole range of chronic diseases that are reaching epidemic levels around the world. The healthcare expense of sugar caused diseases is massive.

Sugar is so heavily entrenched in the food culture in the United States and other countries that getting people to kick the habit will require much more than simple education and awareness.
Sugar Cane Crop
Death By Sugar

Sugar Causes the Plague, Slavery, and Mass Murder

Black Death Caused by Sugar

Nothing has Killed More People than Sugar

Sugar Fed Bad Bacteria in the gut can take over your Brain like an Alien Presence

How cancer feeds on sugar (and other big reasons to avoid refined sweets)
Recent and ongoing reforms

Critical Path Initiative

The Critical Path Initiative is FDA’s effort to stimulate and facilitate a national effort to modernize the sciences through which FDA-regulated products are developed, evaluated, and manufactured. The Initiative was launched in March 2004, with the release of a report entitled Innovation/Stagnation: Challenge and Opportunity on the Critical Path to New Medical Products [21].

Patients’ rights to access unapproved drugs

A 2006 court case, Abigail Alliance v. von Eschenbach, would have forced radical changes in FDA regulation of unapproved drugs. The Abigail Alliance argued that the FDA must license drugs for use by terminally ill patients with "desperate diagnoses," after they have completed Phase I testing. [36] The case won an initial appeal in May 2006, but that decision was reversed by a March 2007 rehearing. The US Supreme Court declined to hear the case, and the final decision denied the existence of a right to unapproved medications.
The widely publicized recall of Vioxx, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug now estimated to have contributed to fatal heart attacks in thousands of Americans, played a strong role in driving a new wave of safety reforms at both the FDA rulemaking and statutory levels. Vioxx was approved by the FDA in 1999, and was initially hoped to be safer than previous NSAIDs, due to its reduced risk of intestinal tract bleeding. However, a number of pre- and post-marketing studies suggested that Vioxx might increase the risk of myocardial infarction, and this was conclusively demonstrated by results from the APPROVe trial in 2004.[37] Faced with numerous lawsuits, the manufacturer voluntarily withdrew it from the market. The example of Vioxx has been prominent in an ongoing debate over whether new drugs should be evaluated on the basis of their absolute safety, or their safety relative to existing treatments for a given condition. In the wake of the Vioxx recall, there were widespread calls by major newspapers,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SINthetic Doctor Prescription Drugs</th>
<th>Homeopathy Natural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Sales</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 Billion</td>
<td>800 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Damages Sued for</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Billion</td>
<td>10 thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 39 %</td>
<td>.00125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Damages Paid</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 Billion</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
medical journals, consumer advocacy organizations, lawmakers, and FDA officials for reforms in the FDA’s procedures for pre- and post-market drug safety regulation.

In 2006, a congressionally requested committee was appointed by the Institute of Medicine to review pharmaceutical safety regulation in the U.S. and to issue recommendations for improvements. The committee was composed of 16 experts, including leaders in clinical medicine, economics, biostatistics, law, public policy, public health, and the allied health professions, as well as current and former executives from the pharmaceutical, hospital, and health insurance industries. The authors found major deficiencies in the current FDA system for ensuring the safety of drugs on the American market. Overall, the authors called for an increase in the regulatory powers, funding, and independence of the FDA. Some of the committee’s recommendations have been incorporated into drafts of the PDUFA IV bill which was signed into law in 2007.
Pediatric drug testing

Prior to the 1990s, only 20% of all drugs prescribed for children in the United States were tested for safety or efficacy in a pediatric population. This became a major concern of pediatricians as evidence accumulated that the physiological response of children to many drugs differed significantly from those drugs' effects on adults. The reasons for the dearth of clinical drug testing in children were multifactorial. For many drugs, children represented such a small proportion of the potential market, that drug manufacturers did not see such testing as cost-effective. Also, because children were thought to be ethically restricted in their ability to give informed consent, there were increased governmental and institutional hurdles to approval of these clinical trials, as well as greater concerns about legal liability. Thus, for decades, most medicines prescribed to children in the U.S. were done so in a non-FDA-approved, "off-label" manner, with dosages "extrapolated" from adult data through body weight and body-surface-area calculations. [42]

An initial attempt by the FDA to address this issue was the 1994 FDA Final Rule on Pediatric Labeling and Extrapolation, which allowed manufacturers to add pediatric labeling information, but required drugs which had not been tested for pediatric safety and efficacy to bear a disclaimer to that effect. However, this rule failed to motivate many drug companies to conduct additional pediatric drug trials. In 1997, the FDA proposed a rule to require pediatric drug trials from the sponsors of New Drug Applications.
However, this new rule was successfully preempted in Federal court as exceeding the FDA’s statutory authority. While this debate was unfolding, Congress used the 1997 Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act to pass incentives which gave pharmaceutical manufacturers a six-month patent term extension on new drugs submitted with pediatric trial data. The act reauthorizing these provisions, the 2002 Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act, allowed the FDA to request NIH-sponsored testing for pediatric drug testing, although these requests are subject to NIH funding constraints. Most recently, in the Pediatric Research Equity Act of 2003, Congress codified the FDA’s authority to mandate manufacturer-sponsored pediatric drug trials for certain drugs as a “last resort” if incentives and publicly funded mechanisms proved inadequate. [42]

Rules for generic biologics

Since the 1990s, many successful new drugs for the treatment of cancer, autoimmune diseases, and other conditions have been protein-based biotechnology drugs, regulated by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. Many of these drugs are extremely expensive; for example, the anti-cancer drug Avastin costs $55,000 for a year of treatment, while the enzyme replacement therapy drug
Cerezyme costs $200,000 per year, and must be taken by Gaucher's Disease patients for life. Biotechnology drugs do not have the simple, readily verifiable chemical structures of conventional drugs, and are produced through complex, often proprietary techniques, such as transgenic mammalian cell cultures. Because of these complexities, the 1984 Hatch-Waxman Act did not include biologics in the Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) process, essentially precluding the possibility of generic drug competition for biotechnology drugs. In February 2007, identical bills were introduced into the House to create an ANDA process for the approval of generic biologics, but were not passed. [43]
On the 8th day of the 8th month of 2008 the USA gave itself officially the right to listen to all Electronic Communications with MESS

On the Same Day Rupert Murdoch buys the Wall St Journal and now controls most of the world press
The media is owned by the Ultra-Rich and they do not allow certain discussions.

Message Received

Examples of data the NSA can look at without a judicial warrant in its search for hints of terrorism:

Email: Recipient and sender address; subject; time sent
Internet: Sites visited and searches conducted
Cellphone: Numbers incoming or outgoing; length of call; location
Phone: Numbers incoming or outgoing; length of call
Financial: Information about bank accounts, wire transfers, credit-card use
Airline: Information about passengers

Echelon

"It's the largest database ever assembled in the world."
--- anonymous source in USA Today

Number of corporations that control a majority of U.S. media:
(newspapers, magazines, TV and radio stations, books, music, movies, videos, wire services and photo agencies)

The media is owned by the Ultra-Rich and they do not allow certain discussions.
http://indavideo.hu/video/Master_Echelon_Super_System_the_Movie

http://indavideo.hu/video/TV_Media_and_the_Ultra-Rich

911 story of taking away privacy https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-OgP0UL_xko

911 story of conspiracy https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lljaCALSpU0
The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, commonly referred to as the Tobacco Control Act gives FDA authority to regulate the manufacture, distribution, and marketing of tobacco products to protect public health.

It was signed on June 22, 2009, ushering in a new era of tobacco control by recognizing that almost all new users of tobacco products are under age 18 – the minimum legal age to purchase. But no attempt to stop reckless endangerment is allowed, encouraged, or even discussed. Such discussion is not allowed.

The Tobacco Control Act aims to curb the trend of new users becoming addicted before they are old enough to understand the risks and ultimately dying too young of tobacco-related diseases.

Center for Tobacco Products
Inaugural Year and Looking Ahead

In 2009 the USA Legislature gives the FDA the power to PROTECT, PRESERVE + SERVE the Tobacco Industry

Presented by
Lawrence R. Deyton, M.S.P.H., M.D.
Director, Center for Tobacco Products
June 29, 2010

http://indavideo.hu/video/Number_One_Crime_Against_Children


Since most new smokers are under the age of consent, anyone leaving cigs on the table for a minor to steal is guilty of reckless endangerment

http://indavideo.hu/video/Sugar_Coated_Message_to_get_Smokers_to_Quit
Reckless Endangerment: the offense of recklessly engaging in conduct that creates a substantial risk of serious physical injury or death to another. Reckless Endangerment is a gross misdemeanor but sometimes rises to a felony, as when a deadly weapon such as tobacco is involved. A person is guilty of reckless endangerment when he or she recklessly engages in conduct that creates a substantial risk of death or serious physical injury to another person.

The Criminals allowing these Crimes must be found and held responsible for the Crime
A U.S. Supreme Court decision has just given drug companies total liability protection for injuries and deaths caused by government mandated vaccines. The National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) called the decision a "betrayal" of the American consumer.

In a 6-2 decision, the Court majority voted to reject substantial evidence that current law was fully intended to protect an American’s right to sue a pharmaceutical corporation for injuries that could have been prevented if the company had elected to make a safer vaccine.

The court decision leaves parents with no way to hold vaccine makers accountable and no feasible way to get compensation for the injuries suffered by their children; furthermore, the decision removes all financial incentive for multi-national drug companies to make vaccines as safe as they can be.

According to EON:

"Hannah Bruesewitz was brain injured by DPT vaccine as a child but she was denied compensation by the U.S. Court of Claims, which administers the federal vaccine injury compensation program created by the 1986 Act that has turned away two out of three plaintiffs. Her attorneys then sued in civil court, providing evidence that Wyeth-Lederle had the technology to produce a less reactive, purified pertussis vaccine but declined to do so."

The Supreme Court ruled against Hannah’s family. Hannah, now 19, showed no symptoms of a seizure disorder before the vaccination, and a multitude of tests haven’t indicated any other cause.
Seizure disorders used to be listed on the Vaccine Injury Table, which is used by the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, but the listing was removed a month before the Bruesewitzes originally filed a vaccine injury petition in April 1995.
The Homeland Security Act

A key provision of the ‘Homeland Security Act’ grants immunity to the pharmaceutical companies for present and future product liability claims for vaccines. Further plans for ‘medical litigation reform’ include limiting product liability lawsuits against drug companies.

Eli Lilly Payback Provision in the Homeland Security Bill

The conservative argument in favor of the special Eli Lilly Payback Provision of the Homeland Security bill is essentially this: there’s no scientific evidence linking the vaccine preservative thimerosal to autism—only anecdotal (which is true). The evil trial lawyers, however, will use this anecdotal evidence to bankrupt.

The 114.5 Trillion dollar super-skyscraper is the amount of money the U.S. Government knows it does not have to fully fund the Medicare, Medicare Prescription Drug Program, Social Security, Military and civil servant pensions. It is the money USA knows it will not have to pay all its bills.

FDA Receives Strong Industry Commitment for its Antibiotic Resistance Strategy

For Immediate Release: March 26, 2014
Media Inquiries: Siobhan DeLancey, 202-510-4177, siobhan.delancey@fda.hhs.gov
Trade Press Inquiries: Megan Bensette, 240-506-6818, megan.bensette@fda.hhs.gov
Consumer and Industry Inquiries: AskCVM@fda.hhs.gov

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration is announcing today that since December 2013, when FDA announced final Guidance for Industry #213, all but one animal drug company have committed in writing to seek withdrawal of approvals for any production uses of affected drug applications and change the remaining therapeutic uses of their products from over-the-counter (OTC) to use by Veterinary Feed Directive (VFD) or prescription.

On December 11, 2013, the FDA announced the implementation of its plan to help
We Now Owe the Drug Co. More than our National Debt

Who Owns America???
George Bush before he left office signed the drug liability law that allowed the drug companies to precharge for their drugs, Today we owe them over 21 trillion dollars

Look who owns America, Each Taxpayer owes over a million dollars

The Prescription Drug Liability has been removed from this site because it was proof of the ownership

12-19-2014 data above 8-21-2014 below

The Synthetic Chemical Medical Cartel truly Own America and all the People are their Slaves

21.8 Trillion at the August 2014 and 20.2 at the end
Why the 1.5 trillion dollars difference?? There has been a downturn in Pharma sales due to mistrust in the Drug companies
FDA employees are trained in SINthetic drugs, and hope to get better paying jobs, grants, trips, favors to relatives and friends by Drug companies. They are unable to see the damages of evidence based SINthetic drugs.
"We don't have the answer, but we're really getting off on the attention."

From now on, all health statistics will be called diseases. All synthetic chemicals will be called medicines and all drug promotions will be called awareness campaigns.
COUNTERTHINK

FDA PROCESS FOR APPROVING NEW DRUGS...

My Uncle will get a Drug Co Job
Now, Holy Vioxx

I can get a drug co Grant

I'm promised a Fellowship and Dental

And I can quit this mindless job and get a real cushy job
with the Drug Co. as their ethics supervisor

www.NewsTarget.com
ART - DAN BERGER  CONCEPT - MIKE ADAMS

AND SOME OTHER PILLS FOR THE SIDE EFFECTS OF
THE PILLS YOU TAKE FOR THE PROBLEMS THE DRUGS
CAUSE...

AND SOME OTHER PILLS FOR THE SIDE EFFECTS OF
THE PILLS YOU TAKE FOR THE PROBLEMS THE DRUGS
CAUSE...

AND SOME OTHER PILLS FOR THE SIDE EFFECTS OF
THE PILLS YOU TAKE FOR THE PROBLEMS THE DRUGS
CAUSE...

AND SOME OTHER PILLS FOR THE SIDE EFFECTS OF
THE PILLS YOU TAKE FOR THE PROBLEMS THE DRUGS
CAUSE...

WITH THE COST OF BRINGING A DRUG TO MARKET AT OVER 1.5
MILLION DOLLARS, WHEN WILL WE SEE THE LUDICROUS FOLLY.
FDA Criticism

*Main article: Criticism of the Food and Drug Administration*

The FDA currently has regulatory oversight over a large array of products that affect the health and life of American citizens. As a result, the FDA's powers and decisions are carefully monitored by several governmental and non-governmental organizations. There are many criticisms and complaints lodged against the FDA from patients, economists, regulatory bodies, and the pharmaceutical industry. A $1.8 million 2006 *Institute of Medicine* report on pharmaceutical regulation in the U.S. found major deficiencies in the current FDA system for ensuring the safety of drugs on the American market. Overall, the authors called for an increase in the regulatory powers, funding, and independence of the FDA.

Nine FDA scientists appealed to then president-elect Barack Obama over pressures experienced during the George W. Bush presidency, from management to manipulate data, including in relation to the review process for medical devices. Characterized as "corrupted and distorted by current FDA managers, thereby placing the American people at risk," These concerns were also highlighted in the 2006 report on the agency as well.

A recent analysis of the economic discourse regarding certain FDA-administered restrictions finds that published statements by economists very preponderantly support liberalization. The three FDA restrictions under analysis are the permitting of new drugs and devices, the control of manufacturer speech, and the imposition of prescription requirements. Additionally, some economists have argued that in the increasingly complex and diverse food marketplace, the FDA is not equipped to adequately regulate or inspect food.

However, when asking the question whether economists or fundamental economic reasoning favor liberalization of the restrictions, the consensus is disagreement. Economist Daniel Klein suggests, "taboos surround the issue, particularly taboos against the critical examination of fundamentals." He contends, "that there is no market-failure rationale for the restrictions." Many economists that publish statements regarding the FDA "exhibit a sort of intellectual schizophrenia. In their heart of hearts, they seem to agree that there is no respectable market-failure rationale." Perhaps, certain factors surrounding the political and sociological culture of the regulations keep some economists from speaking openly.

**Regulation of living organisms**

With acceptance of premarket notification 510(k) 033391 in January 2004, the FDA granted Dr. Ronald Sherman permission to produce and market *Medical maggots* for use in humans or other animals as a prescription medical device. *Medical maggots* represent the first living organism allowed by the Food and Drug Administration for production and marketing as a prescription medical device.
In June 2004, the FDA cleared Hirudo medicinalis (Leeches) as the second living organism to be used as a medical device. Money seems to influence legality too much sometimes.
Rogue FDA agents Dennis Hudson and Spencer Morrison lead an attack of terror on Natural Medicine

The FDA Harassment and Wrongful Persecution of Professor Nelson, now Desire’ Dubounet

by Jonas Paulauskas reporter Originally published April 28-2011

Well when I saw this story last year it was an astounding press chronicle for today’s times.

“The man who left America to find Freedom, Who is no longer a Man”.

Battle of the Milennia: David Desi vs. Goliaths

by Heather Swanson

The Golieths

Big Tobacco, Big Sugar, Big Pharma, Big Media
Big Banking, Big Money
THE GOLIETHS

Battle of the Milennia: 
David Desi vs. Goliaths

by Heather Swanson

There's a battle outside your door, shaking your house. The battle cry has reached your ears; it's raging in them. Only this is between the big guy and the little guy, and you don't think he has a chance. Or are you already getting prepared to live under the big guy for the rest of your life?

We all remember the story of David and Goliath. Well today, it's not just one Goliath, it's a host of THE GOLIETHS that freely roam the earth victimizing the human race all in the name of greed and money.

So, about now, you are thinking: This is a big fairy tale, right? Let's meet our modern day corporate Goliaths:

1) Big Sugar. Don't tell me you don't eat it. Dig your teeth into this white delicious sugar weaken the immune system and causes obesity. Over consumption of sugar is linked to lethal health problems and terminal diseases such as diabetes and heart disease and it happens to be one of America's top money makers.

2) Big Tobacco. One in every five deaths in the United States is smoking related. Need we say more? It's illegal, socially acceptable, and though a consumable drug (right?) the FDA happens not to be responsible for it.

3) The FDA. A kind of friggin Frankenstein's assistant, for these Goliaths. A polysemic agency, which has risen to its Goliath stature by consuming billions of dollars, fed to it by pharmaceutical, big sugar, and other behives. The FDA protects big sugar, big pharmaceuticals, and big tobacco more than it protects the American people.

4) The Music Industry. Think the death of Woodstock and the birth of MTV. Opposing independent ideas, free creativity and a host of concepts rooted in freedom of expression, music has become a bloody battle for sales and power for this race of Mega Popstars. Its all about hype and nothing about talent.

5) The Pharmaceutical Industry. A multi-billion dollar business, the backbone of current allopathic medical practice; a leading cause of death in the USA and a killer of over one million Americans yearly.

6) Hollywood. One consumable that needs no introduction. In America, 99% of homes have at least one television set. In 2004, top studios totaled revenues of $17.7 billion from world box office sales.

S0.9 Billion from world video sales, and $17.7 billion from world television licensing. Hollywood is a media monopoly with no room for protest or true idealism.

Now, meet David. I mean, Desi, Pop star, musician, and healer Desi DeBonnet is currently fighting a 6-way battle armed only with her special superpower, clarity of mind, and the SCG - a biodiesel machine Desire patented that caught the FDA's attention because it doesn't match their "regular" clients. It's chemical free and doesn't need the FDA to market it because people buy it because it works. In 2002, TBS died from falling over furniture to date, no one has died due to use of the SCG. So, why don't they go investigate furniture manufacturers?

With her independent media company Change the World Productions, DeBonnet has been fighting the propaganda that has monopolized multimedia for generations. If you take a trillion dollars and stuck them up, they would reach to the moon and back. Well, these trillion dollar industrial Goliaths are pitting themselves against one, solitary individual who has stood up and showed that there is a different way - a better, more noble path to the future betterment of this planet. After all, it isn't an accident that her company is called Change the World Productions. It's Desi DeBonnet's fate.

Take a stand today behind truth and justice. Join Desi in the fight against these maligned modern day Goliaths that now terrorize our lives.

4 Horsemen of the Apocalypse

The Bible Predicts

Avoid Sugar, Tobacco, Boiled Oil+Synthetic Drugs
The Ultra-Rich has taken over ownership of the USA, and if the trend continues it looks bad for the little guy.
Allegations that unsafe drugs are approved

Some critics believe that the FDA has been too willing to overlook safety concerns in approving new drugs, and is slow to withdraw approved drugs once evidence shows them to be unsafe. Rezulin (troglitazone) and Vioxx (rofecoxib) are high-profile examples of drugs approved by the FDA which were later withdrawn from the market for posing unacceptable risks to patients.

Troglitazone is a diabetes drug that was also available abroad at the time the FDA approved it. Post-marketing safety data indicated that the drug had dangerous side-effects (in this case liver failure). The drug was pulled off that market in the UK in 1997, but was not withdrawn by the FDA until 2000, before which time it is claimed that thousands of Americans were injured or killed by the drug. [33]

In the case of Vioxx, a pre-approval study indicated that a group taking the drug had four times the risk of heart attacks when compared to another group of patients taking another anti-inflammatory, naproxen. [34] The FDA approval board accepted the manufacturer's argument that this was due to a previously unknown cardioprotective effect of naproxen, rather than a risk of Vioxx, and the drug was approved. In 2005, the results of a randomized, placebo-controlled study showed that Vioxx users suffered a higher rate of heart attacks and other cardiovascular disorders than patients taking no medication at all. [35] The manufacturer, Merck, withdrew the drug after disclosures that it had withheld information about its risks from doctors and patients for over five years, resulting in between 88,000 and 140,000 cases of serious heart disease of which roughly half died. [36] David Graham, a scientist in the Office of Drug Safety within the CDER, testified to Congress that he was pressured by his supervisors not to warn the public about dangers of drugs like Vioxx. He argued that an inherent conflict of interest exists when the office responsible for post-approval monitoring of drug safety is controlled by the same organization which initially approved those same drugs as safe and effective. He said that after testifying against Vioxx, he was "marginalized by FDA management and not asked to participate in the evaluation of any new drug safety issues. It's a type of ostracism." [33] In a 2006 survey sponsored by the Union of Concerned Scientists, almost one-fifth of FDA scientists said they "have been asked, for non-scientific reasons, to inappropriately exclude or alter technical information or their conclusions in a FDA scientific document." [37]

Allegations of undue pharmaceutical industry influence

In a 2005 interview, Dr. David J. Graham, associate director of the FDA's Office of Drug Safety, was asked "What Specifically do you believe is broken in the FDA and what needs to be done to fix it? What must be done to improve the drug vetting system?" His response: "FDA is inherently biased in favor of the pharmaceutical industry. It views industry as its client, whose interests it must represent and advance. It views its primary mission as approving as many drugs it can, regardless of whether the drugs are safe or needed." [51][52]
Critics have disputed the claim that the Prescription Drug User Fee Amendment has improved the speed of drug approvals. Former Editor of *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Marcia Angell, has stated that "It's time to take the Food and Drug Administration back from the drug companies.... In effect, the user fee act put the FDA on the payroll of the industry it regulates. Last year, the fees came to about $300 million, which the companies recoup many times over by getting their drugs to market faster."[iv]

**Allegations of bias against gay men in blood donation process**

Blood collecting organizations, such as the American Red Cross, have policies in accordance with FDA guidelines that prohibit accepting blood donations from any "male who has had sex with another male since 1977, even once". The inclusion of homo- and bisexual men on the prohibited list has created some controversy,[v] but the FDA and Red Cross cite the need to protect blood recipients from HIV as justification for the continued ban.[vi] Even with PCR-based testing of blood products, a "window period" may still exist in which an HIV-positive unit of blood would test negative. All potential donors from HIV high-risk groups are deferred for this reason, including men who have sex with men. The issue has been periodically revisited by the Blood Products Advisory Committee within the FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, and was last reconfirmed on May 24, 2007. Documentation from these meetings is available.[vii]

However, in 2006, the AABB, America's Blood Centers and American Red Cross recommended to the FDA that the deferral period for men who had sex with other men should be changed to be equivalent with the deferral period for heterosexual's judged to be at risk.[viii] The FDA chose to uphold the blood ban. Female sexual partners of MSM (men who have sex with men) are deferred for one year since the last exposure. This is the same policy used for any sexual partner of someone in a high-risk group.[ix] The intent of these policies is to ensure that blood is collected from a population that is at low risk for disease, since the tests are not perfect and human error may lead to infected units not being properly discarded. The policy was first put in place in 1985.[x]

See also: [MSM blood donor controversy](#)

**Criticism of FDA's rejection of medical cannabis**

In April 2005, the FDA issued a statement asserting that cannabis had no medical value and should not be accepted as a medicine, despite a great deal of research suggesting the opposite.[xi] The supporters of medical cannabis legalization criticized the FDA's statement as a politically motivated one instead of one based on solid science. A group of congressmen led by Maurice Hinchey wrote a letter to FDA's commissioner Andrew von Eschenbach, expressing their disapproval of the FDA's statement and pointed out the FDA's rejection of medical cannabis was inconsistent with the findings of the Institute of Medicine, which stated cannabis does have medical benefits.[xii] While the FDA has not approved marijuana it has approved THC (a compound found in cannabis) as an active ingredient for medicinal use.[xiii] Critics argue that this approval is a politically motivated attempt to
allow special interest groups to have patents over the substance, perhaps because the patents on previously patented competing substances have expired.

Allegations regarding management and FDA scientists

Nine FDA scientists appealed to President George W. Bush and at the time, President-elect Barack Obama over pressure from management to manipulate data, mainly in relation to the review process for medical devices. These concerns were highlighted in a 2006 report on the agency as well.
Prepared for the
February 10, 2012 meeting of the
Neurologic Devices Panel

Petitions to Request Change in Classification for Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulators

*Inspite of massive evidence in favor of CES the FDA rejects it in 2012, but later they recant on world pressure of scientists to look at the research and open the door to microcurrent CES*

---

**Simple Math**

5 GSRtDCs × 40 min therapy makes
18% improvement in Math
15% improvement in Memory
15% improvement of Insight

Take a Chance, Your Children Deserve a Chance at a Better Life

http://gchdcs-school.interact sport-employment.com
Get Better at Math by Disrupting Your Brain

Can electrical jolts to the brain produce Eureka moments?

Electrical brain stimulation improves math skills

Brain stimulation promises 'long-lasting' maths boost

The brain stimulation technique could help children who struggle with arithmetic, say researchers.

A small study in Current Biology suggests the brain stimulation technique makes neurons function more efficiently. It could help those suffering with neurodegenerative illness, stroke or learning difficulties. An expert said the technique could have "real, applied impact." Transcranial random noise stimulation (TRNS) works by applying random electrical noise to billions of axons of the brain by placing electrodes on the surface of the scalp. It is a relatively new method of brain stimulation which is painless and non-invasive.

Our neuro-imaging results suggested that TRNS increases the efficiency with which stimulated brain areas use their supplies of oxygen and nutrients."

Dr Roi Cohen Kadosh, University of Oxford
GSRTDCs School Intellect Sport Enhancement

Medical University Researched Licensed Therapist Monitored CE – FDA Registered Equipment

GSRTDCs
Makes your Children Better Students and Better Athletes Through Safe Electro Stim

Enhance your Child's School + Sport Performance

Dangers of unmonitored therapy - why to avoid home built or non-auto focused systems
List of international licensed therapists

What Limits and Interferes with your child's learning
What makes your children smarter
Superlearning, Superperformance Diet
ADHD Books

Attention Deficit Disorder Test
Comments and questions

"Amazing, Consistent and Life Changing Results"

Quote From a German Doctor on GSRTDCs
"After 4 or 5 Super Learning Sessions All of our Subjects Became Brighter, more Motivated, and Improved Performance at School"
Police are preparing to put those who refuse Vaccination into Concentration Camps

Concentration Camps For Americans

Current FEMA Concentration Camps
📍 For those who won't Take your Meds

http://indavideo.hu/video/If_you_refuse_vaccination_then_your_camp_will_be_concentration
Even though the FDA was designed to protect Homeopathy, there are those in the FDA who have sought to destroy Homeopathy. The lack of scientific minds behind homeopathy and a deficiency of interest in a true double blind approach to research has hurt Homeopathy. There have been some researchers and authors interested in both like Desire’ Dubounet and IMUNE, but they have received little support from the homeopathic community. Homeopathy is persecuted but there is science to support it and cut through a mound of myths and misconceptions.

As I asked Google to do a search on "How to Make a Homeopathic" almost all of the answers have viruses attached that stop visualization. This is one reason why we needed to make our own server and a hard drive to protect this valuable information for you and the world.

Even though the FDA was designed to protect Homeopathy, there are those in the FDA who have sought to destroy Homeopathy. The lack of scientific minds behind homeopathy and a deficiency of interest in a true double blind approach to research has hurt Homeopathy. There have been some researchers and authors interested in both like Desire’ Dubounet and IMUNE, but they have received little support from the homeopathic community. Homeopathy is persecuted but there is science to support it and cut through a mound of myths and misconceptions.
Scientific Research in Homeopathy

Triple Blind studies, Double-Blind Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial, Systematic Reviews & Meta-Analysis, and Evidence-base

By Professor Desire’ Dubounet

6-2012

http://www.downloads.imune.net/medicalbooks/Scientific%20Research%20In%20Homeopathy%202012.pdf

Figure 2 – Schematic representation of the Arndt-Schultz law.
The FDA does not do consumer reports. A company might be in compliance in one way and doing a deceit in another. A true consumer report was very needed in alternative medicine. A group of German doctors, lawyers, and scientists got together in 2011 to start a true consumer report for health products. They formed the independent World Health Product Rating Service. The public needs to be able to sort out whether a company’s claims are valid or not.

http://www.whprs-ratings.com/

At WHPRS We Review Alternative Product Sales Claims to help give you information you need before you buy

Validation and Verification of Claims is the LAW

Go To: Product Ratings
We at Medical Expose’ work to expose all frauds in Medicine.

"One of the most significant trends observed in recent health care fraud cases includes the willingness of medical professionals to risk patient harm in their schemes."
- Federal Bureau of Investigation Financial Crimes Report to the Public Fiscal Year 2007

http://medicalexpose.org/
World Health Products Rating Service

Start from the Bottom and work up
Click what you can Prove you have

11 European Governmental Professional Work Qualifications for using the device. Platinum rating.

10 Taught in accredited medical universities and your device/product appears or your peer reviewed medical studies are quoted in certified medical textbooks. This takes a minimum of seven years in peer reviewed medical journals. Gold rating.

9 Medically supervised, independently researched, double blinds, Peer reviewed medical journal publication Silver Rating.

8 Double Blind Independent Medically Supervised Studies.

7 Independent Medically Supervised Studies.

6 TESTIMONIALS, STORIES OR clinical studies done by your personal staff. Proper Ethics Committees and or Institutional Review Boards are needed, as well as informed consent and full compliance with the Helsinki research accord.

5 SCIENCE + DEVICE STUDIES+ SAFETY Registration+ MEDICAL CLAIM Registration- here your device/product is proven safe, and effective for medical uses in the claims you specify in your registration.

4 SCIENCE + DEVICE STUDIES+ SAFETY Registration- here your device is safety tested to CE standards.

3 SCIENCE + DEVICE STUDIES- bench tested for performance specs.

2 SCIENTIFIC THEORY - accepted science.

1 MAGICAL THINKING SCIENCE- here pseudo-science, unproven theories.

0 DIVINATION- the devices uses subtle muscle control of the therapist.

-1 FRAUDULENT-STOLEN – Completely Illegal.
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I Told You, You can't talk about Big Head Versus Little Head.

I Told You, You can't talk about Equal Economic Education.

I Told You, You can't talk about Synthetic Drugs.

I Told You, You can't talk about The Body Electric.

How goes the WAR on Drugs?

Drugs Won

So Far this is the Result Can we change it?
We have lost our freedom of speech, lost our rights of Privacy and the Ultra-Rich have stolen our wealth. They have taken control of the FDA. Big Tobacco, Big Sugar, Big Pharma are protected by the same agency that was designed to regulate them. They attack drugless therapies and any who want to discuss Equality. Our society grows Fat, Stupid, Apathetic, and mired in self pity and easily diverted from truth. Money, Wealth and Celebrity are All. The Environment is slowly destroyed for profit. The people are just cattle grown stupid and made to feel incomplete so they will want to buy what the Ultra-Rich want them to buy or do what they are told. Few have the intellect to even see this.

What to do:
The battle with the Ultra-Rich is the most important battle for the people ever. As JFK said before he died “There is a Plot to enslave every man woman and child”. This covert clandestine Plot has brought us very close to slavery of the world’s population. The Ultra-Rich own the media, listen to everything we say and they fully control the FDA and the law itself. We cannot and will not beat them in court.

The secret surreptitious nature of this plot is their demise. Discussion of ideas can break up secrets.

If we simply talk about Equal Economic Education, it will break the back of the Ultra-Rich.

If we simply talk about the fact that all SINthetic medicines are an Insult to the body, it will make people think before they take a drug.

If we ask why no one is ever charged with reckless endangerment for allowing a child to become addicted to tobacco, perhaps the law will have to stop this crime.

If more people know about the dangers of Processed Sugar, they can start to switch to natural fruit for sweetness.

If they see the control of the media by the Ultra-Rich, maybe we can expand our mind beyond their control.

If people saw through the manipulation of our minds with xenophobia to make war to feed the war machine, maybe tolerance for our fellow man can improve.

If people realized that their sexual identity is a Big Head choice, not a Little head choice, maybe we could stop thinking with our little heads so much.

If we learn to think critically we can see through the greed of the Ultra-Rich.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oCvLiEiSIRM