

# Critic of an Oberon Study, WHPRS rating = 1



## Taipei SunMoonStar Clinic

### President



Roy Cheng, MD, MBA

#### Wichtiger beruflicher Werdegang:

**Leiter der Shi-Nou Union Klinik**  
Vorsorge & Anti-Aging-Behandlung  
Körperuntersuchungszentrum

**Leiter der Jao-An Union-Klinik**  
Vorsorge & Anti-Aging-Behandlung

**Leiter der Mainz Union Klinik**  
Kosmetische Chirurgie, Hautpflege-und Lasertherapie ,  
Anti-Aging-Behandlung

**Abteilungsleiter und Oberarzt**  
Chirurgische Intensivstation & Schmerztherapie (Krebs-  
Behandlung), Taipei Yang-Ming Municipal Hospital

**Oberarzt**  
Abteilung der Intensivstation & Schmerztherapie,  
Veteran General Hospital  
Facharzt für Intensiv- und Schmerzmedizin  
Spezialist für funktionelle Medizin  
Spezialist für Anti-Aging-Medizin  
Master of Health Care Administration, Nationalen Yang-  
Ming University

This would never be published as it is in any Peer Reviewed Medical Journal as that the lack of science, complete lack of references, and blatant promotion of a private clinic make it unprofessional.

After the tragedy of Nazi research on non-volunteers in WW2, the world agreed in the Helsinki agreement to make certain that medical research have protocols to protect patients. An institutional Review Board or Independent Ethics Committee is essential. There is none mentioned in this article.


There is no mention of an Institutional sponsor, no abstract, no introduction, and most critical **No references**. All are needed for a real study.

There is no science quoted that describes the procedure. No references quoted to help us understand if this is real or just mumbo jumbo charlatan fraud.

Blatant Promotion of the doctor's clinic complicates the study and might be a basis for corruption of data and it would rule out real publication.

The exact procedure of the control group is not outlined, There should be a Test done on the subjects with the system properly hooked up THEN There should be a Test done on the subjects with the system not properly hooked up to the device for the control group.

And the doctor should not know whether the device is hooked up or not, Then an independent researcher compares the groups This is a true double blind; I do not see this in this study at all



**What is the science references of these frequencies??  
How are they sent into the subject??? How are they  
measured??? Just what is the science to tell one point  
from another ???? Is this just Mumbo Jumbo???**

The Big Concern is the method of how the system knows the results. The system shows a picture of the liver with over 25 points of reference. How is each point determined??

There is mention of a frequency like 4.9 hz. It is said this is electromagnetic, THEN How is the electromagnetic frequency sent into the subject????

**(I do hope you realize that 4.9 sound frequency has nothing to do at all with electromagnetics)**

How is the reaction to this frequency measured????

What is the literature reference that explains this procedure????

What science allows and validates this????

If you as a therapist or doctor get called to court to validate and verify your medical technique, how would you explain it to the court?????

After years of reading and listening to the Oberon pitch these questions are not answered, in fact a team of scientist found the Oberon to be completely fraudulent in their claims of electromagnetic action.

**What would you be able to validate in court if asked how does it work???**

**I hope you would not try to tell the court that the pictures are very pretty as a defense.**

